arXiv:1409.8433v2 [math.AG] 2 Apr 2015

AROUND THE MUKAI CONJECTURE FOR FANO MANIFOLDS

KENTO FUJITA

Abstract. As a generalization of the Mukai conjecture, we conjecture that the Fano manifolds X which satisfy the property $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \geq \dim X - 1$ have very special structure, where ρ_X is the Picard number of X and r_X is the index of X. In this paper, we classify those X with $\rho_X \leq 3$ or $\dim X \leq 5$.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Fano manifold, that is, a smooth projective variety such that the anticanonical divisor is ample. In this paper, we study the relationship among the Picard number ρ_X, the *index* r_X and the *pseudoindex* ι_X. The definitions of index and pseudoindex are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_X &:= \max\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid -K_X \sim rL \text{ for some Cartier divisor } L\}, \\ \iota_X &:= \min\{(-K_X \cdot C) \mid C \text{ is a rational curve on } X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, ι_X is divisible by r_X. In particular, we have $\iota_X \geq r_X$.

The following conjecture due to Mukai [Muk88] is one of the most famous conjecture towards the relationship between the Picard number and the index of a Fano manifold.

Conjecture 1.1 (Mukai conjecture)**.** *We have $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \leq \dim X$ and equality holds if and only if $X \simeq (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X}$.*

Based on the earlier work due to Wiśniewski [Wiś90b], Bonavero, Casagrande, Debarre and Druel [BCDD03] generalized Conjecture 1.1 by replacing r_X by ι_X.

Conjecture 1.2 (generalized Mukai conjecture)**.** *We have $\rho_X(\iota_X - 1) \leq \dim X$ and equality holds if and only if $X \simeq (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho_X}$.*

As in [Fuj14], we *split* the Mukai conjecture and the generalized Mukai conjecture as below since we want to do certain inductive arguments (see Section 5).

Conjecture 1.3. *Let n and ρ be positive integers.*

(1) (*Conjecture* M^n_ρ) *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. If $\rho_X \geq \rho$ and $r_X \geq (n+\rho)/\rho$, then X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^\rho$.*

(2) (*Conjecture* GM^n_ρ) *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. If $\rho_X \geq \rho$ and $\iota_X \geq (n+\rho)/\rho$, then X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^\rho$.*

It is obvious that the Mukai conjecture (resp. the generalized Mukai conjecture) is true if and only if Conjecture M^n_ρ (resp. GM^n_ρ) is true for all positive integers n, ρ.

We conjecture that n-dimensional Fano manifolds X with $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \geq n - 1$ (resp. $\rho_X(\iota_X - 1) \geq n - 1$) have very special structure. More precisely, we settle the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.4. *Let n and ρ be positive integers.*

(1) (*Conjecture* AM^n_ρ) *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. If $\rho_X \geq \rho$ and $r_X \geq (n + \rho - 1)/\rho$, then X is isomorphic to one of the following:*
   (i) $(\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^\rho$,
   (ii) $\mathbb{Q}^{r_X} \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho-1}$,
   (iii) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r_X-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho-2}$,
   (iv) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}) \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho-2}$.

(2) (*Conjecture* AGM^n_ρ) *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. If $\rho_X \geq \rho$ and $\iota_X \geq (n + \rho - 1)/\rho$, then X is isomorphic to one of the following:*
   (i) $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^\rho$,
   (ii) $\mathbb{Q}^{\iota_X} \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho-1}$,
   (iii) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus \iota_X-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho-2}$,
   (iv) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X}}) \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho-2}$,
   (v) $\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X} \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota_X-1})^{\rho-1}$.

In particular, Conjecture AM^n_1 (resp. Conjecture AGM^n_1) asserts that an n-dimensional Fano manifold X with $r_X \geq n$ (resp. $\iota_X \geq n$) will be isomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}^n$ or $\mathbb{Q}^n$. The "A" in AM^n_ρ and AGM^n_ρ stands for "advanced". We note that Conjecture 1.4 asserts in particular that the variety $\mathbb{P}^\iota \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-1}$ is characterized by the Fano manifold such that the gap between index and pseudoindex is "largest".

Remark 1.5. Clearly, Conjecture GM^n_ρ (resp. Conjecture AGM^n_ρ) implies Conjecture M^n_ρ (resp. Conjectures AM^n_ρ and GM^n_ρ). We also note that Conjecture GM^n_ρ is true if $n \leq 5$ ([ACO04]) or $\rho \leq 3$ ([CMSB02, Keb02, NO10]), Conjecture AGM^n_ρ is true if $n \leq 3$ ([Isk77, Isk78, Sho79, MM81]), Conjecture AM^n_ρ is true if $n \leq 4$ ([Wiś90a]) or $\rho \leq 2$ ([KO73, Wiś91a]), and Conjecture AGM^n_1 is proved in [Miy04].

In this paper, we prove Conjecture AM^n_ρ provided that $\rho \leq 3$ or $n \leq 5$.

Theorem 1.6 (Main Theorem)**.** *Conjecture* AM^n_ρ *is true if $\rho \leq 3$ or $n \leq 5$.*

In other words, we classify the Fano manifolds X which satisfies the property $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \geq \dim X - 1$ under the condition $\rho_X \leq 3$ or $\dim X \leq 5$. We note that, as a corollary of [Nov12, Theorem 5.1], any n-dimensional Fano manifold X with $\rho_X \geq 3$ and $r_X \geq (n+2)/3$ satisfies that either $\rho_X = 3$ or $X \simeq (\mathbb{P}^1)^4$. We rephrase Theorem 1.6 for reader's convenience.

Theorem 1.7. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold. Suppose that $\rho_X(r_X - 1) \geq n - 1$. Suppose furthermore that either $\rho_X \leq 3$ or $n \leq 5$. Then X is isomorphic to one of $(\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X}$, $\mathbb{Q}^{r_X} \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X-1}$ $(r_X \geq 3)$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r_X-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X-2}$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{r_X}}) \times (\mathbb{P}^{r_X-1})^{\rho_X-2}$.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we discuss some inductive process. We will prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.8. (1) *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\rho \in \{2, 3\}$. Then Conjectures $\mathrm{AGM}^{n'}_{\rho-1}$ for all $n' \leq n - (n-1)/\rho$ imply Conjecture AGM^n_ρ.*

(2) *Conjecture AGM^n_ρ is true if $n \leq 5$ and $\rho \geq 2$.*

Remark 1.9. We do not use the deep result [Miy04] in order to prove Theorem 1.6 and Proposition 1.8. Obviously, if we combine Proposition 1.8 and [Miy04, Theorem 0.1], then we can show that Conjecture AGM^n_ρ is true for $\rho \leq 3$ or $n \leq 5$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we recall definitions and some properties on families of rational curves and chains of rational 1-cycles on Fano manifolds. The content is almost same as that of [NO10, §2–3]. In Section 4, we study some vector bundles on special Fano manifolds. This step seems crucial to consider inductive approach for proving Conjecture GM^n_ρ or AGM^n_ρ. In Section 5, we consider certain inductive step on ρ to prove Conjecture GM^n_ρ or AGM^n_ρ under the additional assumption such that there exists a certain special extremal ray. This assumption seems strong, that is one of the reason why we cannot prove neither Conjecture GM^n_ρ nor AGM^n_ρ for general case. We show in Section 6 that such an extremal ray do exists under the assumption that there exist many numerically independent dominating and unsplit families of rational curves. The argument is a standard technique for specialists; e.g., [Wiś91a, Lemma 4] and [Occ06, Theorem 1.1]. We show in Section 7 that, if $\rho \leq 3$ or $n \leq 5$, then there exist many numerically independent dominating and unsplit families of rational curves as in Section 6. In Section 8, we prove Theorem 1.6 by using the techniques given in previous sections.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks the referees for useful suggestions. The author is partially supported by JSPS Fellowships for Young Scientists.

Notation and terminology. We always work in the category of algebraic varieties (integral, separated and of finite type scheme) over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. For a normal projective variety X, we denote the normalization of the space of irreducible and reduced rational curves on X by $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ (see [Kol96, Definition II.2.11]). For the theory of extremal contraction, we refer the readers to [KM98]. For a smooth projective variety X and a K_X-negative extremal ray $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$,

$$l(R) := \min\{(-K_X \cdot C) \mid C \text{ is a rational curve with } [C] \in R\}$$

is called the *length* $l(R)$ of R. The contraction morphism of R is denoted by $\phi_R\colon X \to X_R$.

For a morphism of varieties $f\colon X \to Y$, we define the *exceptional locus* $\mathrm{Exc}(f)$ *of* f by

$$\mathrm{Exc}(f) := \{x \in X \mid f \text{ is not an isomorphism around } x\}.$$

For a complete variety X, an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X and for a nonnegative integer i, we denote the dimension of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $H^i(X, \mathcal{L})$ by $h^i(X, \mathcal{L})$. We also define $h^i(X, L)$ as $h^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L))$ for a Cartier divisor L on X.

For a complete variety X, the Picard number of X is denoted by ρ_X. For a complete variety X and a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$, we denote the image of the homomorphism $\mathrm{N}_1(Y) \to \mathrm{N}_1(X)$ by $\mathrm{N}_1(Y, X)$.

For an algebraic scheme X and a locally free sheaf of finite rank $\mathcal{E}$ on X, let $\mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E})$ be the projectivization of $\mathcal{E}$ in the sense of Grothendieck and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ be the tautological invertible sheaf. We usually denote the projection by $p\colon \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{E}) \to X$. We use the terms "vector bundle" and "locally free sheaf of finite rank" interchangeably. For a smooth projective variety X, let T_X be the tangent bundle of X.

The symbol $\mathbb{Q}^n$ means a smooth hyperquadric in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$. We write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^n}(1)$ as the invertible sheaf which is the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1)$ under the natural embedding. We sometimes write $\mathcal{O}(m)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^n}(m)$ on $\mathbb{Q}^n$ (or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$) for simplicity.

2. Families of rational curves

We recall the definition and properties of a family of rational curves for a fixed smooth projective variety. For detail, see [Kol96].

Definition 2.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety. We define a *family of rational curves* on X to be an irreducible component $V \subset \mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ with the induced universal family. For any $x \in X$, let V_x be the subvariety of V parameterizing rational curves passing through x. We define $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Locus}(V_x)$) to be the union of rational curves parametrized by V (resp. V_x). For a Cartier divisor L on X, the intersection number $(L \cdot C)$ for any rational curve C whose class belongs to V is denoted by $(L \cdot V)$. We also denote by $[V] \in \mathrm{N}_1(X)$ the numerical class of any rational curve among those parametrized by V.

For a family V of rational curves on X, the family V is said to be *dominating* if $\overline{\mathrm{Locus}(V)} = X$, *unsplit* if V is projective, and *locally unsplit* if V_x is projective for a general $x \in \mathrm{Locus}(V)$. If V is a locally unsplit family, then $(-K_X \cdot V) \leq \dim X + 1$ holds by [Mor79, Theorem 4].

If X is a Fano manifold, then X admits a dominating family of rational curves by [Mor79, Theorem 6]. If a dominating family V of rational curves on X satisfies that the intersection number $(-K_X \cdot V)$ is minimal among such V, then the family V is called by

a *minimal dominating family* of X. We note that a minimal dominating family is locally unsplit.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a Fano manifold, $U \subset X$ be an open subvariety and $\pi\colon U \to Z$ be a proper surjective morphism to a quasiprojective variety Z of positive dimension. A family V of rational curves on X is a *horizontal dominating family with respect to* π if $\mathrm{Locus}(V)$ dominates Z and curves parametrized by V are not contracted by π. We know that such a family always exists by [KMM92, Theorem 2.1]. A horizontal dominating family V of rational curves on X with respect to π is called a *minimal horizontal dominating family* with respect to π if the intersection number $(-K_X \cdot V)$ is minimal among such V. We note that a minimal horizontal dominating family is locally unsplit.

Definition 2.3. Let X be a smooth projective variety. We define a *Chow family $\mathcal{W}$ of rational 1-cycles* on X to an irreducible component of the Chow variety $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ of X parameterizing rational and connected 1-cycles. We define $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W})$ to be the union of the supports of 1-cycles parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$. We say that $\mathcal{W}$ is a *covering family* if $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W}) = X$.

For a family V of rational curves on X, the closure of the image of V in $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{V}$ and called the *Chow family associated to* V. If V is unsplit, then V is the normalization of $\mathcal{V}$ by [Kol96, II.2.11].

For a family V of rational curves on X, we say that V (and also $\mathcal{V}$) is *quasi-unsplit* if any component of any reducible cycle parametrized by $\mathcal{V}$ is numerically proportional to the class of curves parametrized by V.

If families $V^1, \dots, V^k$ of rational curves on X satisfy that the dimension of the vector space $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$ in $\mathrm{N}_1(X)$ is equal to k, then we say that $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are *numerically independent*.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a smooth projective variety, $V^1, \dots, V^k$ be families of rational curves on X and $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety. We define

$$\mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_Y := \bigcup\nolimits_{[C] \in V^1; Y \cap C \neq \emptyset} C,$$

and we inductively define $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_Y := \mathrm{Locus}(V^k)_{\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^{k-1})_Y}$. Analogously, we define $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_Y$ for Chow families $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k$ of rational 1-cycles.

For any point $x \in X$, we define $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_x := \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \dots, V^k)_{\{x\}}$.

The following assertions are well-known. We omit the proof.

Proposition 2.5 (see [Kol96, Corollary IV.2.6])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety, V be a family of rational curves on X and $x \in \mathrm{Locus}(V)$ be a (closed) point such that*

V_x is projective. Then the dimension of any irreducible component of $\mathrm{Locus}(V_x)$ *is bigger than or equal to*

$$\dim X - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V) + (-K_X \cdot V) - 1.$$

Proposition 2.6 (see [NO10, Proposition 2])**.** *Let V be a dominating and locally unsplit family of rational curves on a smooth projective variety X and $\mathcal{V}$ be the associated Chow family. Assume that* $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V_x) \geq s$ *for a general $x \in X$ and some integer s, then for any $x \in X$ every irreducible component of* $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{V})_x$ *has dimension $\geq s$.*

Lemma 2.7 (see [ACO04, Lemma 5.4])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety and $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ be numerically independent unsplit families of rational curves on X. Assume that* $(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}[V^k]) \cap \mathrm{N}_1(Y, X) = 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^k)_Y \neq \emptyset$*. Then we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^k)_Y \geq \dim Y + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left((-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1 \right).$$

Lemma 2.8 ([ACO04, Lemma 4.1])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety and $\mathcal{W}$ be a Chow family of rational 1-cycles on X. Then any curve in* $\mathrm{Locus}(\mathcal{W})_Y$ *is numerically equivalent to a linear combination of rational coefficients of curves in Y and of irreducible components of cycles parametrized by $\mathcal{W}$ which meet Y.*

Lemma 2.9 ([NO10, Corollary 1])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety, V^1 be a locally unsplit family of rational curves on X and $V^2, \ldots, V^k$ be unsplit families of rational curves on X. Then for a general $x \in \mathrm{Locus}(V^1)$, we have the following results.*

(a) $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1)_x, X) = \mathbb{R}[V^1]$ *holds.*
(b) *If* $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^k)_x \neq \emptyset$*, then* $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^k)_x, X) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}[V^i]$ *holds.*

3. Rationally connected fibrations

In this section, we recall the theory of rationally connected fibrations. For detail, see [Kol96] and [NO10, §3].

Definition 3.1 (see [Kol96, IV.4], [ACO04, §3])**.** Let X be a smooth projective variety, $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety, m be a positive integer and $\mathcal{W}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}^k$ be Chow families of rational 1-cycles on X. We define $\mathrm{ChLocus}(\mathcal{W}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}^k)_Y$ to be the set of points $y \in X$ such that there exist cycles $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_m$ with the following properties:

(a) The cycle Γ_i belongs to one of the families $\mathcal{W}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}^k$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m$,
(b) $\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,
(c) $\Gamma_1 \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in \Gamma_m$.

For a point $x \in X$, we define $\mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_x := \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_{\{x\}}$.

We say that two points $x, y \in X$ are $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-*equivalent* if there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $y \in \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_x$.

We say that X is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-*connected* if $X = \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_x$ holds for some m and for some (hence any) $x \in X$.

Theorem 3.2 ([Kol96, Theorem IV.4.16])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k$ be Chow families of rational 1-cycles on X. Then there exists an open subvariety $X^0 \subset X$ and a proper surjective morphism with connected fibers $\pi\colon X^0 \to Z^0$ to a quasiprojective variety Z^0 such that the following holds:*

- *The equivalence relation obtained by the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-equivalence restricts to an equivalence relation on X^0.*
- *$\pi^{-1}(z)$ coincides with an $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-equivalence class for any $z \in Z^0$.*
- *For any $z \in Z^0$ and x, $y \in \pi^{-1}(z)$, we have $y \in \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)_x$ for some $m \leq 2^{\dim X - \dim Z^0} - 1$.*

We call this morphism the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-fibration *and often write $\pi\colon X \dashrightarrow Z$ for simplicity (where Z is a projective variety).*

Proposition 3.3 (see [ACO04, Corollary 4.4])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k$ be Chow families of rational 1-cycles on X. If X is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k)$-connected, then $\mathrm{N}_1(X)$ is spanned by the classes of irreducible components of cycles in $\mathcal{W}^1, \dots, \mathcal{W}^k$. In particular, if $\mathcal{W}^i$ is the Chow family associated to some quasi-unsplit family W^i of rational curves on X for any $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $\rho_X \leq k$ and equality holds if and only if $W^1, \dots, W^k$ are numerically independent.*

Theorem 3.4 (cf. [NO10, Theorem 2])**.** *Let X be a Fano manifold and V be a dominating and locally unsplit family of rational curves on X. Assume that X is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-connected and $(-K_X \cdot V) < 3\iota_X$ holds.*

1. *If V is a minimal dominating family and $(-K_X \cdot V) > \dim X + 1 - \iota_X$, then $\rho_X = 1$.*
2. *If $(-K_X \cdot V) > \dim X + 1 - \iota_X$, then $\rho_X \leq 2$.*
3. *If $(-K_X \cdot V) \geq \dim X + 1 - \iota_X$ and $\iota_X \geq 2$, then $\rho_X \leq 3$.*

Proof. The proof is almost same as that of [NO10, Theorem 2].

Fix a general point $x \in X$. There exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $X = \mathrm{ChLocus}_m(\mathcal{V})_x$ since X is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V})$-connected. Since $(-K_X \cdot V) < 3\iota_X$, any reducible cycle Γ of $\mathcal{V}$ has only two irreducible components. Hence either both of them are numerically proportional to $[V] \in \mathrm{N}_1(X)$ or neither of them is numerically proportional to $[V] \in \mathrm{N}_1(X)$.

If any irreducible component of an m-chain $\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_m$ which satisfies

(i) $x \in \Gamma_1$ and

(ii) $\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for any $1 \leq i \leq m-1$

is numerically proportional to $[V] \in \mathrm{N}_1(X)$, then $\rho_X = 1$ by Proposition 3.3.

We can assume that there exists an m-chain $\Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_m$ which satisfies the properties (i), (ii) and there exists an integer $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that the irreducible components Γ_j^1 and Γ_j^2 of Γ_j are not numerically proportional to $[V] \in \mathrm{N}_1(X)$. Let $1 \leq j_0 \leq m$ be the minimum integer for which such a chain exists. We have $j_0 \geq 2$ since $x \in X$ is general. If $j_0 = 2$ then set $x_1 := x$, otherwise let $x_1 \in X$ be a point in $\Gamma_{j_0-2} \cap \Gamma_{j_0-1}$. Take an irreducible component Y of $\mathrm{Locus}(V_{x_1})$ which meets Γ_{j_0}. We can assume that $\Gamma_{j_0}^1 \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. We know that $\mathrm{N}_1(Y, X) = \mathbb{R}[V]$ by Lemma 2.8 and the minimality of j_0. Take a family W of rational curves on X such that the class of $\Gamma_{j_0}^1$ is in W. Then W is unsplit by the property $(-K_X \cdot V) < 3\iota_X$. By Lemma 2.7, Propositions 2.5 and 2.6, we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y \geq \dim Y + (-K_X \cdot W) - 1 \geq (-K_X \cdot V) + \iota_X - 2$.

(1) We have $\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y = X$ since $(-K_X \cdot V) > \dim X + 1 - \iota_X$. In particular, W is a dominating family. However, this leads to a contradiction since V is a minimal dominating family and $(-K_X \cdot V) > (-K_X \cdot W)$. Thus $\rho_X = 1$.

(2) We have $\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y = X$ by the same reason. We know that $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y, X) = \mathbb{R}[V] + \mathbb{R}[W]$ by Lemma 2.8. Thus $\rho_X \leq 2$.

(3) We have $\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y$ is a divisor or equal to X and $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y, X) = \mathbb{R}[V] + \mathbb{R}[W]$ by the same reason. If $\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y$ is equal to X, then $\rho_X \leq 2$. If $\mathrm{Locus}(W)_Y$ is a divisor, then $\rho_X \leq 3$ by [Cas12, Theorem 1.2]. □

We recall the following argument due to Novelli and Occhetta.

Construction 3.5 ([NO10, Construction 1])**.** Let X be a Fano manifold. Take a minimal dominating family V^1 of rational curves on X. If X is not $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1)$-connected, take a minimal horizontal dominating family V^2 of rational curves on X with respect to the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1)$-fibration $\pi^1 \colon X \dashrightarrow Z^1$. If X is not $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \mathcal{V}^2)$-connected, take a minimal horizontal dominating family V^3 of rational curves on X with respect to the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \mathcal{V}^2)$-fibration $\pi^2 \colon X \dashrightarrow Z^2$, and so on. Since $\dim Z^{i+1} < \dim Z^i$, for some integer k we have that X is $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1, \ldots, \mathcal{V}^k)$-connected. We note that the families $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ are numerically independent by construction.

Lemma 3.6 (see [NO10, Lemma 4])**.** *Let X be a Fano manifold with $\iota_X \geq 2$ and $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ be families of rational curves as in Construction 3.5. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim X &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \dim \left((\pi^i)^{-1} \left(\pi^i(x_i) \right) \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i)_{x_i} \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\dim X - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1 \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left((-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for any general $x_i \in \mathrm{Locus}(V^i)$.

Lemma 3.7 ([Nov12, Lemma 4.5])**.** *Let X be a Fano manifold with $\iota_X \geq 2$ and $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ be families of rational curves as in Construction 3.5. Assume that at least one of these families, say V^j, is non-unsplit. Then $k(\iota_X - 1) \leq \dim X - \iota_X$. Moreover,*

(a) *if $j = (\dim X - \iota_X)/(\iota_X - 1)$, then $j = k$ and $\rho_X(\iota_X - 1) = \dim X - \iota_X$;*
(b) *if $j = (\dim X - \iota_X - 1)/(\iota_X - 1)$, then $j = k$ and either $\rho_X(\iota_X - 1) = \dim X - \iota_X - 1$, or $\iota_X = 2$ and $\rho_X = \dim X - 2$.*

4. Special vector bundles

In this section, we consider vector bundles on some special Fano manifolds whose projectivizations are also Fano manifolds with large pseudoindices.

Definition 4.1. A morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ is called a *$\mathbb{P}^m$-fibration* if f is a proper and smooth morphism such that the scheme theoretic fiber of f is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^m$ for any (closed) point in Y.

The following lemma in [BCDD03] is fundamental.

Lemma 4.2 ([BCDD03, Lemme 2.5 (a)])**.** *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a $\mathbb{P}^m$-fibration between smooth projective varieties. If X is a Fano manifold, then Y is also a Fano manifold and $\iota_Y \geq \iota_X$ holds.*

We give a sufficient condition that a given $\mathbb{P}^m$-fibration is isomorphic to a projective space bundle.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be a $\mathbb{P}^m$-fibration between smooth projective varieties. If Y is a rational variety, i.e., birational to a projective space, then f is a projective space bundle. More precisely, there exists a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $m+1$ on Y such that X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ over Y.*

Proof. Since Y is a smooth projective rational variety, the cohomological Brauer group $H^2_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mathbb{G}_{\text{m}})$ of Y is equal to zero (see for example [CS07, §5]). Thus the homomorphism $H^1_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mathrm{GL}_{m+1}) \to H^1_{\text{ét}}(Y, \mathrm{PGL}_{m+1})$ is surjective. □

We introduce the notion of minimal horizontal curves of projective space bundles over rational curves. The idea focusing on those curves has been already obtained in [BCDD03, §2].

Definition 4.4. Let Y be a smooth projective variety, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on Y of rank $m+1$ and let $X := \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ with the projection $p\colon X \to Y$. Let $C \subset Y$ be

a rational curve with the normalization morphism $\nu\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to C \hookrightarrow Y$. Consider the fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu^*\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & X \\ {\scriptstyle p'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow[\nu]{} & Y. \end{array}$$

There exists an isomorphism

$$\nu^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{0\leq i\leq m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)$$

with $a_0 \leq \cdots \leq a_m$. Let $C' \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})$ be the section of p' corresponds to the canonical projection

$$\nu^*\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{0\leq i\leq m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_0)$$

and let $C^{p,0} \subset X$ be the image of C' in X. We call this $C^{p,0}$ a *minimal horizontal curve of p over C*. The choice of $C^{p,0}$ is not unique in general. However, we have

$$(-K_X \cdot C^{p,0}) = (-K_Y \cdot C) - \sum_{i=1}^{m}(a_i - a_0) \tag{1}$$

since $(-K_X\cdot C^{p,0}) = (p^*(\mathcal{O}_Y(-K_Y)\otimes(\det\mathcal{E})^\vee)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m+1)\cdot C^{p,0})$, $\deg(\det(\nu^*\mathcal{E})) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)\cdot C')_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu^*\mathcal{E})} = a_0$. This value does not depend on the choice of $C^{p,0}$.

Lemma 4.5. *Let Z be a smooth projective variety and $Y := \mathbb{P}^m \times Z$ (we allow the case Z is a point). We write the projections $p_1\colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^m$ and $p_2\colon Y \to Z$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on Y of rank $m+1$ and $X := \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ with the projection $p\colon X \to Y$. Assume that X is a Fano manifold with $\iota_X \geq m+1$. Then there exist an integer a and a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}_Z$ on Z of rank $m+1$ such that $\mathcal{E} \simeq p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(a) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{E}_Z$ holds. Moreover, $X_Z := \mathbb{P}_Z(\mathcal{E}_Z)$ satisfies that $X \simeq X_Z \times \mathbb{P}^m$. In particular, X_Z is also a Fano manifold with $\iota_{X_Z} \geq m+1$.*

Proof. Pick any (closed) point $z \in Z$ and any line $l \subset p_2^{-1}(z)(= \mathbb{P}^m) \subset Y$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_l \simeq \bigoplus_{0\leq i\leq m}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y\cdot l) = m+1$ and $(-K_X\cdot l^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. The integer a does not depend on the choices of z and l since the value $(\det\mathcal{E}\cdot l) = (m+1)a$ is independent of the choices of z and l. Thus $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E}\otimes p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(-a)$ satisfies that $\mathcal{E}'|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus m+1}$ for any (closed) point $z \in Z$ and any line $l \subset p_2^{-1}(z) \subset Y$. Thus $\mathcal{E}'|_{p_2^{-1}(z)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}^{\oplus m+1}$ by [AW01, Proposition (1.2)]. We have $h^0(p_2^{-1}(z), \mathcal{E}'|_{p_2^{-1}(z)}) = m+1$ and $h^1(p_2^{-1}(z), \mathcal{E}'|_{p_2^{-1}(z)}) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{E}_Z := (p_2)_*\mathcal{E}'$ is a locally free sheaf on Z of rank $m+1$ and $p_2^*\mathcal{E}_Z \simeq \mathcal{E}'$ holds by the cohomology and base change theorem. Therefore we have $\mathcal{E} \simeq p_1^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(a)\otimes p_2^*\mathcal{E}_Z$. The remaining assertions are trivial. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let $Y := (\mathbb{P}^m)^k$ for some m, $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on Y of rank $m+1$ and let $X := \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ with the projection $p\colon X \to Y$. If X is a Fano manifold with $\iota_X \geq m+1$, then X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^m)^{k+1}$.*

Proof. It is obvious from Lemma 4.5 by using induction on k. □

Corollary 4.7. *Fix m, $k \geq 1$. Let Y be a smooth projective variety, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free sheaf on Y of rank $m+1$ and let $X := \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ with the projection $p\colon X \to Y$. Assume that X is a Fano manifold with $\iota_X \geq m+1$.*

(a) *If $Y = \mathbb{Q}^{m+1} \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$, then $X \simeq Y \times \mathbb{P}^m$.*
(b) *If $Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$, then $X \simeq Y \times \mathbb{P}^m$.*
(c) *If $Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}) \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$, then $X \simeq Y \times \mathbb{P}^m$.*
(d) *If $Y = \mathbb{P}^{m+1} \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$, then X is isomorphic to one of the following:*
  (i) $Y \times \mathbb{P}^m$,
  (ii) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$,
  (iii) $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}) \times (\mathbb{P}^m)^{k-1}$.

Proof. We can assume $k = 1$ by Lemma 4.5.

(d) Take any line $l \subset Y = \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$. Then the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}|_l$ is either isomorphic to
(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m+1}$ or
(2) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+1)$
for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y \cdot l) = m+2$ and $(-K_X \cdot l^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. Moreover, the possibility (1) or (2) and the integer a do not depend on the choice of l. If the case (1) occurs, then $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(-a) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}^{\oplus m+1}$ by [AW01, Proposition (1.2)]. Thus X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{P}^m$. If the case (2) occurs, then $\mathcal{E}$ is isomorphic to either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(a)^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(a+1)$ or $T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(a-1)$ by [Sat76, Main Theorem 2) (ii)]. Thus X is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}})$.

(a) If $m = 1$, then the assertion is true by Corollary 4.6. We can assume that $m \geq 2$. Take any line $l \subset Y = \mathbb{Q}^{m+1}$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m+1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y \cdot l) = m+1$ and $(-K_X \cdot l^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. Moreover, the integer a does not depend on the choice of l. Then $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{m+1}}(-a) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{m+1}}^{\oplus m+1}$ by [AW01, Proposition (1.2)]. Thus X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^{m+1} \times \mathbb{P}^m$.

(b) Let $p'\colon Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \to \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ be the projection and $q\colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^{2m+1}$ be the blowing up along an $(m-1)$-dimensional linear subspace. Take any (closed) point $z \in \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ and any line $l \subset (p')^{-1}(z) (\simeq \mathbb{P}^m) \subset Y$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m+1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y \cdot l) = m+1$ and $(-K_X \cdot l^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. Moreover, the integer a do not depend on the choices of z and l. Then $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2m+1}}(-a)$ satisfies that $\mathcal{E}'|_{(p')^{-1}(z)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}^{\oplus m+1}$ for any (closed) point $z \in \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_1 := p'_*\mathcal{E}'$ is a locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ of rank $m+1$ and $(p')^*\mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{E}'$ holds by the cohomology and base change theorem. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to one of the following

(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m+1}$,
(2) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b+1)$ or
(3) $T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b-1)$

for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (d). Take a line l' in a nontrivial fiber ($\simeq \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$) of q. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_{l'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a')^{\oplus m+1}$ for some $a' \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y \cdot l') = m+1$ and $(-K_X \cdot (l')^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. Thus we have $(m+1)a' = (\det \mathcal{E} \cdot l') = (\det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot p_* l')$. If $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to either of type (2) or (3), then $(\det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot p_* l') = (m+1)b+1$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m+1}$. In particular X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times \mathbb{P}^m$.

(c) Let $p' \colon Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}) \to \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ be the projection and $q \colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ be the other contraction morphism. Take any (closed) point $z \in \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ and any line $l \subset (p')^{-1}(z) (\simeq \mathbb{P}^m) \subset Y$. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_l \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a)^{\oplus m+1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the equation (1) and the properties $(-K_Y \cdot l) = m+1$ and $(-K_X \cdot l^{p,0}) \geq m+1$. Moreover, the integer a do not depend on the choices of z and l. Then $\mathcal{E}' := \mathcal{E} \otimes q^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(-a)$ satisfies that $\mathcal{E}'|_{(p')^{-1}(z)} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}^{\oplus m+1}$ for any (closed) point $z \in \mathbb{P}^{m+1}$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_1 := p'_* \mathcal{E}'$ is a locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{m+1}$ of rank $m+1$ and $(p')^* \mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{E}'$ holds by the cohomology and base change theorem. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to one of the following

(1) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m+1}$,
(2) $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b+1)$ or
(3) $T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b-1)$

for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (d). Take a line l' in a fiber ($\simeq \mathbb{P}^m$) of q. Then we have $\mathcal{E}|_{l'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a')^{\oplus m+1}$ for some $a' \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the same reason. Thus we have $(m+1)a' = (\det \mathcal{E} \cdot l') = (\det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot p'_* l')$. If $\mathcal{E}_1$ is isomorphic to either of type (2) or (3), then $(\det \mathcal{E}_1 \cdot p'_* l') = (m+1)b+1$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{E}_1 \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(b)^{\oplus m+1}$. In particular X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{m+1}}) \times \mathbb{P}^m$. □

5. Inductive step

In this section, we prove Conjecture AGM_ρ^n under the conditions that Conjectures $\mathrm{AGM}_{\rho-1}^{n'}$ are true for small n' and there exist special extremal rays for Fano manifolds satisfying the assumptions of Conjecture AGM_ρ^n.

We recall the result of Wiśniewski.

Theorem 5.1 (Wiśniewski's inequality [Wiś91b])**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ be a K_X-negative extremal ray. Then any nontrivial fiber F of ϕ_R (the contraction morphism associated to R) satisfies the inequality*

$$\dim F \geq \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_R) + l(R) - 1.$$

Together with the result of Höring and Novelli [HN13], we get the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $R \subset \overline{\mathrm{NE}}(X)$ be a K_X-negative extremal ray. If any fiber F of ϕ_R satisfies that $\dim F \leq l(R) - 1$, then the morphism $\phi_R \colon X \to X_R$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{l(R)-1}$-fibration.*

Proof. For any nontrivial fiber F of ϕ_R, we have $\dim F = l(R) - 1$ and $\dim \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_R) = \dim X$ by Theorem 5.1. Thus we can apply [HN13, Theorem 1.3]. □

Using this, we get the key proposition in this section.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold of the pseudoindex ι. Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R satisfies that $\dim F \leq \iota - 1$.*

1. *If X satisfies the assumptions of Conjecture GM^n_ρ for some fixed $\rho \geq 2$ and Conjecture $\mathrm{GM}^{n+1-\iota}_{\rho-1}$ is true, then X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^\rho$.*
2. *If X satisfies the assumptions of Conjecture AGM^n_ρ for some fixed $\rho \geq 2$ and Conjecture $\mathrm{AGM}^{n+1-\iota}_{\rho-1}$ is true, then X is isomorphic to one of in the list of Conjecture AGM^n_ρ.*

Proof. The morphism $\phi_R \colon X \to X_R$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1}$-fibration by Theorem 5.2. We replace X_R by Y for simplicity. We know that Y is an $(n+1-\iota)$-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_Y = \rho_X - 1$ and $\iota_Y \geq \iota_X$ by Theorem 4.2.

(1) We have the inequalities

$$\iota_Y \geq \iota \geq (n+\rho)/\rho \geq (n+1-\iota+(\rho-1))/(\rho-1).$$

Thus Y is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-1}$ since we assume that Conjecture $\mathrm{GM}^{n+1-\iota}_{\rho-1}$ is true. Since Y is rational, the morphism ϕ_R is a projective space bundle by Proposition 4.3. Therefore X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^\rho$ by Corollary 4.6.

(2) We have the inequalities

$$\iota_Y \geq \iota \geq (n+\rho-1)/\rho \geq (n+1-\iota+(\rho-1)-1)/(\rho-1).$$

Thus Y is isomorphic to one of $(\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-1}$, $\mathbb{Q}^\iota \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-2}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^\iota}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus \iota-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-3}$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^\iota}(T_{\mathbb{P}^\iota}) \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-3}$ or $\mathbb{P}^\iota \times (\mathbb{P}^{\iota-1})^{\rho-2}$ since we assume that Conjecture $\mathrm{AGM}^{n+1-\iota}_{\rho-1}$ is true. Since Y is rational, the morphism ϕ_R is a projective space bundle by Proposition 4.3. Therefore X is isomorphic to one of in the list of Conjecture AGM^n_ρ by Corollaries 4.6 and 4.7. □

6. Finding a special extremal ray

In this section, we show that Fano manifolds satisfying the assumptions in Conjecture AGM^n_ρ ($\rho \geq 2$) have an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R is of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$ under the assumption that there exist numerically independent

unsplit and dominating families of rational curves $V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1}$ on X. This is a kind of generalization of Wiśniewski's result [Wiś91a, Lemma 4].

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho := \rho_X \geq 2$ which satisfies that $\iota_X \geq (n+\rho-1)/\rho$. Assume that there exist numerically independent unsplit and dominating families of rational curves $V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1}$ on X. Then there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R is of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$.*

Proof. First, we prove the following:

Claim 6.2. *For any extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$, the contraction morphism $\phi_R \colon X \to X_R$ is either*

(i) *a divisorial contraction and any nontrivial fiber is of dimension ι_X, or*
(ii) *of fiber type and any fiber is of dimension $\geq \iota_X - 1$.*

Proof of Claim 6.2. Take an arbitrary fiber F of ϕ_R. For any point $x \in F$, we have

$$\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1})_x \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1} \big((-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \geq (\iota_X - 1)(\rho - 1)$$

by Lemma 2.7. Since $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1})_x, X) = \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$ (by Lemma 2.9 (b)) and $\mathrm{N}_1(F, X) = \mathbb{R}R$, we have $\dim(F \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1})_x) = 0$. Hence

$$\dim F \leq n - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1})_x \leq n - (\iota_X - 1)(\rho - 1) \leq \iota_X.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\dim F \geq n - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_R) + l(R) - 1 \geq \iota_X - 1$$

by Theorem 5.1. Hence the assertion follows. □

Next, we prove the following:

Claim 6.3. *Take arbitrary distinct extremal rays R, $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$. Assume that any fiber F' of $\phi_{R'}$ intersects some fiber F of ϕ_R. Then the morphism $\phi_{R'}$ also satisfies either the property* (i) *or* (ii) *in Claim 6.2. Moreover, the following holds:*

(1) *If ϕ_R is a divisorial contraction, then $\phi_{R'}$ is of fiber type and any fiber of $\phi_{R'}$ is of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$.*
(2) *If $\phi_{R'}$ is a divisorial contraction, then any fiber of ϕ_R that intersects some fiber of $\phi_{R'}$ is of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$.*

Proof of Claim 6.3. We can assume that $\mathrm{N}_1(X) = \mathbb{R}R + \mathbb{R}R' + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-2} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$ by renumbering $V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-1}$. Then we have $\mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-2})_F, X) = \mathbb{R}R + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-2} \mathbb{R}[V^i]$ by

Lemma 2.9 (b) and

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1,\dots,V^{\rho-2})_F &\geq \dim F + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-2}\big((-K_X\cdot V^i)-1\big)\\ &\geq \dim F + (\rho-2)(\iota_X-1) \geq n-\iota_X\end{aligned}$$

holds by Lemma 2.7 and Claim 6.2. Moreover, if ϕ_R is a divisorial contraction, then we have $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1,\dots,V^{\rho-2})_F \geq n+1-\iota_X$ since $\dim F = \iota_X$. Since $\mathrm{N}_1(F',X) = \mathbb{R}R'$, we have $\dim(F'\cap \mathrm{Locus}(V^1,\dots,V^{\rho-2})_F) = 0$. Thus $\dim F' \leq n - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1,\dots,V^{\rho-2})_F \leq \iota_X$. If ϕ_R is a divisorial contraction, then $\dim F' \leq \iota_X - 1$. Moreover, $\dim F' \geq n - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_{R'}) + l(R') - 1 \geq \iota_X - 1$ holds by Theorem 5.1. If $\phi_{R'}$ is a divisorial contraction, then $\dim F' \geq \iota_X$. Therefore the assertion follows. □

Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$ such that the contraction morphism ϕ_R is a divisorial contraction. Set $E := \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_R)$. Then there exists an extremal ray $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R' \neq R$ such that $(E\cdot R') > 0$ since $\mathrm{NE}(X)$ is spanned by finite number of extremal rays. Then any fiber F' of $\phi_{R'}$ intersects E. Thus $\dim F' \leq \iota_X - 1$ by Claim 6.3 (1).

Hence we can assume that any extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$ satisfies that the contraction morphism ϕ_R is of fiber type. We fix an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$. Then any extremal ray $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R' \neq R$ satisfies either the property (i) or (ii) in Claim 6.2 by Claim 6.3.

Assume that there exists an extremal ray $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R' \neq R$ such that the contraction morphism $\phi_{R'}$ is a divisorial contraction. Set $E' := \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_{R'})$. Then there exists an extremal ray $R'' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R'' \neq R'$ such that $(E'\cdot R'') > 0$. If $R'' \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$, then any fiber of the morphism $\phi_{R''}$ has of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$ by Claim 6.3 (2). Thus we can assume that $R'' \subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$. In particular, ρ must be bigger than or equal to three. We can assume that $\mathrm{N}_1(X) = \mathbb{R}R + \mathbb{R}R' + \mathbb{R}R'' + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-3}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$ by renumbering $V^1,\dots,V^{\rho-1}$ since $R \not\subset \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$ and two distinct extremal rays R' and R'' are in $\sum_{i=1}^{\rho-1}\mathbb{R}[V^i]$. Take any fiber F'' of $\phi_{R''}$. Then we can take a fiber F' of $\phi_{R'}$ such that $F'\cap F'' \neq \emptyset$ since $(E'\cdot R'') > 0$ holds. Then $\mathrm{N}_1(\phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F')),X) = \mathbb{R}R + \mathbb{R}R'$ and

$$\dim \phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F')) \geq \iota_X - 1 + \dim \phi_R(F') = \iota_X - 1 + \dim F' = 2\iota_X - 1$$

since any fiber of ϕ_R is of dimension $\geq \iota_X - 1$ and the restriction morphism $\phi_R|_{F'} \colon F' \to \phi_R(F')$ is a finite morphism. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-3})_{\phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F'))}, X) &= \mathbb{R}R + \mathbb{R}R' + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-3} \mathbb{R}[V^i] \\ \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-3})_{\phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F'))} &\geq \dim \phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F')) + \sum_{i=1}^{\rho-3} \big((-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \\ &\geq n + 1 - \iota_X \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9 (b). Thus $\dim(F'' \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-3})_{\phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F'))}) = 0$. Therefore $\dim F'' \leq n - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, \ldots, V^{\rho-3})_{\phi_R^{-1}(\phi_R(F'))} \leq \iota_X - 1$ for any fiber F'' of $\phi_{R''}$.

Hence we can assume that any extremal ray $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ satisfies that the contraction morphism ϕ_{R_1} is of fiber type. For any fiber F_1 of ϕ_{R_1}, we have $\dim F_1 \geq \iota_X - 1$ by Theorem 5.1. We can assume that there exists an extremal ray $R_1 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ and a fiber F_1 of ϕ_{R_1} such that the dimension of F_1 is bigger than or equal to ι_X. Take any $(\rho-1)$-dimensional extremal face $S \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that $R_1 \subset S$ and let $\phi_S \colon X \to X_S$ be the contraction morphism of S. Then there exists a fiber F_S of ϕ_S such that $\dim F_S \geq n + 1 - \iota_X$. Indeed, let $x_S \in X_S$ be the image of $F_1 \subset X$. Then $\dim \phi_S^{-1}(x_S) \geq \iota_X + (\rho - 2)(\iota_X - 1) \geq n + 1 - \iota_X$. We also take an extremal ray $R_0 \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ that $R_0 \cap S = 0$. Then for any fiber F_0 of ϕ_{R_0}, we have $\dim(F_0 \cap F_S) = 0$. Therefore $\dim F_0 \leq n - \dim F_S \leq \iota_X - 1$ holds.

Consequently, we complete the proof of Theorem 6.1. □

As a corollary, we get the following result.

Corollary 6.4. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold satisfying the assumptions of Conjecture* AGM_ρ^n *for some $\rho \geq 2$. Let $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ be families of rational curves on X as in Construction 3.5. If V^i are unsplit for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, then there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R is of dimension $\leq \iota_X - 1$.*

Proof. We know that $k = \rho_X$ by Proposition 3.3.

If $k \geq \rho + 1$, then $n \geq \sum_{i=1}^k (\dim X - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1) \geq k(\iota_X - 1) \geq (\rho + 1)(\iota_X - 1)$ by Lemma 3.6. We note that $\iota_X \geq 2$ and $\iota_X \rho + 1 - \rho \geq n$. Thus $\iota_X = 2$, $n = k = \rho + 1$ and $V^1, \ldots, V^n$ are numerically independent dominating and unsplit family of rational curves such that $(-K_X \cdot V^i) = 2$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore X is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ by [Occ06, Theorem 1.1].

Hence we can assume that $\rho_X = k = \rho$. Then

$$n \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \big(\dim X - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \geq \rho(\iota_X - 1) \geq n - 1$$

by Lemma 3.6. Thus at least $\rho - 1$ number of families in $\{V^1, \dots, V^\rho\}$ are dominating families of rational curves. Therefore we can apply Theorem 6.1. □

7. Proof of Proposition 1.8

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.8. First, we consider Proposition 1.8 (1).

Proposition 7.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_X \geq 2$ and $\iota_X \geq (n+1)/2$. Then there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R satisfies that* $\dim F \leq \iota_X - 1$.

Proof. Take families $V^1, \dots, V^k$ of rational curves on X as in Construction 3.5. It is enough to show that all of $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are unsplit by Corollary 6.4. Assume that there exists a non-unsplit family, say V^j. Then $(-K_X \cdot V^j) \geq 2\iota_X$. Thus $j = k = 1$, $(-K_X \cdot V^1) = 2\iota_X$ and $n = 2\iota_X - 1$ by Lemma 3.6. However, since $3\iota_X > (-K_X \cdot V^1) > n + 1 - \iota_X = \iota_X$, we have $\rho_X = 1$ by Theorem 3.4 (2). This leads to a contradiction. Therefore all of $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are unsplit families. □

Proposition 7.2. *Let X be an n-dimensional Fano manifold with $\rho_X \geq 3$ and $\iota_X \geq (n+2)/3$. Then there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that any fiber F of ϕ_R satisfies that* $\dim F \leq \iota_X - 1$.

Proof. Take families $V^1, \dots, V^k$ of rational curves on X as in Construction 3.5. It is enough to show that all of $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are unsplit by Corollary 6.4.

Assume that there exists a non-unsplit family, say V^j. Then $(-K_X \cdot V^j) \geq 2\iota_X$. If $k = 1$, then we have $3\iota_X > 3\iota_X - 1 \geq n+1 \geq (-K_X \cdot V^1) \geq 2\iota_X \geq n+2-\iota_X > n+1-\iota_X$. Thus $\rho_X = 1$ by Theorem 3.4 (2). This leads to a contradiction. Hence $k \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \big(n - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \\ &\geq (2\iota_X - 1) + (k-1)(\iota_X - 1) \geq 3\iota_X - 2 \geq n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $k = 2$, $n = 3\iota_X - 2$, both V^1 and V^2 are dominating families, $(-K_X \cdot V^j) = 2\iota_X$ and $(-K_X \cdot V^i) = \iota_X$ holds, where $\{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$. Thus for general $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^j, V^i)_x &\geq (-K_X \cdot V^j) - 1 + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1 = n, \\ \mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^j, V^i)_x, X) &= \mathbb{R}[V^j] + \mathbb{R}[V^i] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9 (b). Thus $\rho_X = 2$. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore all of $V^1, \dots, V^k$ are unsplit families. □

By Propositions 7.1, 7.2 and 5.3 (2), we have proved Proposition 1.8 (1).

Next, we consider Proposition 1.8 (2). To do this, it is enough to study five-dimensional Fano manifolds X with $\iota_X = 2$ and $\rho_X = 4$ by [Isk77, Isk78, Sho79, MM81, ACO04, NO10], Propositions 7.1 and 7.2.

Proposition 7.3. *Let X be a five-dimensional Fano manifold with $\iota_X = 2$ and $\rho_X = 4$. Then X is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(T_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$ or $\mathbb{P}^2 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$.*

Proof. Take families $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ of rational curves on X as in Construction 3.5. We note that Conjecture AGM_3^4 is true by [MM81] and Proposition 1.8 (1). Thus it is enough to show that all of $V^1, \ldots, V^k$ are unsplit by Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 5.3 (2).

Assume that V^j is non-unsplit for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Such V^j is unique and $k \leq 3$ holds by the inequalities

$$5 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \big(5 - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \geq (2 \cdot 2 - 1) + (k-1)(2-1)$$

in Lemma 3.6. Moreover, we know that $j = 1$ by Lemma 3.7.

Assume that $k = 3$. Then we have $(-K_X \cdot V^1) = 4$, $(-K_X \cdot V^i) = 2$ and V^i is a dominating family for $i = 2, 3$ by the inequalities

$$5 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{3} \big(5 - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \geq (2 \cdot 2 - 1) + 2(2-1) = 5$$

in Lemma 3.7. This leads to a contradiction since V^1 is a minimal dominating family. Thus $k \leq 2$.

Assume that $k = 2$. We repeat the proof in [NO10, Theorem 5]. We have either $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^2) = 4$ and $(-K_X \cdot V^1) = 4$ and $(-K_X \cdot V^2) = 2$, or $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^2) = 5$ and $(-K_X \cdot V^1) \geq 4 > 3 \geq (-K_X \cdot V^2)$ by the inequalities

$$5 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \big(5 - \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^i) + (-K_X \cdot V^i) - 1\big) \geq (2 \cdot 2 - 1) + (2-1) = 4$$

in Lemma 3.6. If $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^2) = 5$, then this leads to a contradiction since V^1 is a minimal dominating family. We can assume that $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^2) = 4$. For a general $x \in X$, we have $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1_x) = (\pi^1)^{-1}(\pi^1(x))$ by Lemma 3.6, where $\pi^1 \colon X \dashrightarrow Z^1$ is the $\mathrm{rc}(\mathcal{V}^1)$-fibration. Thus $\mathrm{Locus}(V^1_x) \cap \mathrm{Locus}(V^2) \neq \emptyset$ since V^2 is a horizontal dominating family with respect to π^1. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1, V^2)_x &\geq 4 \\ \mathrm{N}_1(\mathrm{Locus}(V^1, V^2)_x, X) &= \mathbb{R}[V^1] + \mathbb{R}[V^2] \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.9 (b). Therefore $\rho_X \leq 3$ by [Cas12, Theorem 1.2]. This leads to a contradiction.

Assume that $k = 1$. If $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1_x) \geq 4$ for a general $x \in X$, then $\rho_X \leq 2$ by [Cas12, Theorem 1.2]. Hence $\dim \mathrm{Locus}(V^1_x) \leq 3$ for a general $x \in X$. Then $(-K_X \cdot V^1) = 4$ by Proposition 2.5. We have $3\iota_X = 6 > 4 = (-K_X \cdot V^1) = \dim X + 1 - \iota_X$. Thus $\rho_X \leq 3$ by Theorem 3.4 (3). This leads to a contradiction.

Therefore we have proved Proposition 7.3. □

As a consequence, we have proved Proposition 1.8 (2).

8. Proof of Theorem 1.6

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.6. By [KO73], [Wiś90b, Theorem B], [Wiś91a, Theorem], [NO10, Theorem 3], [Nov12, Theorem 5.1] and Proposition 1.8 (2), it is enough to show the following.

Theorem 8.1. *Set $r \geq 3$. If X is a $(3r-2)$-dimensional Fano manifold with $r_X = r$ and $\rho_X = 3$, then X is isomorphic to one of $\mathbb{Q}^r \times (\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^2$, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(T_{\mathbb{P}^r}) \times \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$.*

Proof. By [NO10, Theorem 3], we have $\iota_X = r_X = r$. By Proposition 7.2 and Theorem 5.2, there exists an extremal ray $R \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ such that the associated contraction morphism $\phi_R \colon X \to Y$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$-fibration. The variety Y is a $(2r-1)$-dimensional Fano manifold with $\iota_Y \geq r$ and $\rho_Y = 2$ by Lemma 4.2. By [NO10, Theorem 3], we have $\iota_Y = r$. By Proposition 7.1 and Theorem 5.2, there exists an extremal ray $S \subset \mathrm{NE}(Y)$ such that the associated contraction morphism $\phi_S \colon Y \to Z$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$-fibration.

Claim 8.2. *The variety Z is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{P}^r$ or $\mathbb{Q}^r$.*

Proof of Claim 8.2. Set $\pi := \phi_S \circ \phi_R \colon X \to Z$. Let $R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ be the extremal ray such that the morphism π corresponds to the extremal face $R + R' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$. Choose any extremal ray $R'' \subset \mathrm{NE}(X)$ with $R'' \neq R, R'$. Then any nontrivial fiber F of $\phi_{R''} \colon X \to X_{R''}$ satisfies that $\dim F \leq r$ since $\pi|_F \colon F \to Z$ is a finite morphism. On the other hand, by Theorem 5.1,

$$\dim F \geq \dim X - \dim \mathrm{Exc}(\phi_{R''}) + l(R'') - 1.$$

Thus $l(R'') = r$ and there are three possibilities:

(1) $\phi_{R''}$ is a divisorial contraction and any fiber F of $\phi_{R''}$ satisfies that $\dim F = r$.
(2) $\phi_{R''}$ is of fiber type and any fiber F of $\phi_{R''}$ satisfies that $\dim F = r$.
(3) $\phi_{R''}$ is of fiber type and a general fiber F of $\phi_{R''}$ satisfies that $\dim F = r - 1$.

We consider the case (1). Then $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$ by [AW93, Theorem 4.1 (iii)]. Thus $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$ by [OW02, Theorem 1]. We consider the case (2). Then a general fiber F is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}^r$ by [KO73]. Thus $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$ or $\mathbb{Q}^r$ by [CS94]. We consider the case (3). Set

$$B := \{x \in X_{R''} \mid \dim \phi^{-1}_{R''}(x) \geq r\}.$$

Since $\operatorname{codim}_X(\phi_{R''}^{-1}(B)) \geq 2$, we can take a general (complete) very free rational curve C on $X \setminus \phi_{R''}^{-1}(B)$ such that $C'' := \phi_{R''}(C)$ is not a point by [Kol96, Proposition II.3.7, Theorems IV.3.10 and V.2.13]. By [HN13, Theorem 1.3], $\phi_{R''}^{-1}(x)$ is scheme-theoretically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ for any $x \in C''$. Let $\nu\colon \mathbb{P}^1 \to C'' \hookrightarrow X_{R''}$ be the normalization morphism and set $T := X \times_{X_{R''}} \mathbb{P}^1$ as in Definition 4.4. Since $T \to \mathbb{P}^1$ is a $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$-fibration, T is a toric variety. For any fiber F'' of $T \to \mathbb{P}^1$, the morphism $\pi\colon X \to Z$ restricted to the image of F'' is a finite morphism. Since C is general, the morphism $T \to Z$ is surjective. Therefore $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}^r$ by [OW02, Theorem 1]. □

By using Proposition 4.3 and Corollary 4.7 twice of each, we get the possibilities of the structures of Y and X. Thus we get the assertion. □

As a consequence, we have complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.

References

[ACO04] M. Andreatta, E. Chierici and G. Occhetta, *Generalized Mukai conjecture for special Fano varieties*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **2** (2004), no. 2, 272–293.

[AW93] M. Andreatta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *A note on nonvanishing and applications*, Duke. Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 3, 739–755.

[AW01] M. Andreatta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *On manifolds whose tangent bundle contains an ample subbundle*, Invent. Math. **146** (2001), no. 1, 209–217.

[BCDD03] L. Bonavero, C. Casagrande, O. Debarre and S. Druel, *Sur une conjecture de Mukai*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 3, 601–626.

[Cas12] C. Casagrande, *On the Picard number of divisors in Fano manifolds*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **45** (2012), no. 3, 363–403.

[CMSB02] K. Cho, Y. Miyaoka and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Characterizations of projective spaces and applications to complex symplectic manifolds*, Higher dimensional birational geometry (Kyoto, 1997), 1–88, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **35**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002.

[CS94] K. Cho and E. Sato, *Smooth projective varieties dominated by smooth quadric hypersurfaces in any characteristic*, Math. Z. **217** (1994), no. 4, 553–565.

[CS07] J. -L. Colliot-Thélène and J. -J. Sansuc, *The rationality problem for fields of invariants under linear algebraic groups* (*with special regards to the Brauer group*), Algebraic groups and homogeneous spaces, 113–186, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2007.

[Fuj14] K. Fujita, *The Mukai conjecture for log Fano manifolds*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), no. 1, 14–27.

[HN13] A. Höring and C. Novelli, *Mori contractions of maximal length*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **49** (2013), no. 1, 215–228.

[Isk77] V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano threefolds, I*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. **41** (1977), no. 3, 516–562, 717.

[Isk78] V. A. Iskovskih, *Fano threefolds, II*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. **42** (1978), no. 3, 506–549.

[Keb02] S. Kebekus, *Characterizing the projective space after Cho, Miyaoka and Shepherd-Barron*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 147–155, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math, vol.134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[KMM92] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, *Rational connectedness and boundedness of Fano manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **36** (1992), no. 3, 765–779.

[KO73] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai, *Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **13** (1973), 31–47.

[Kol96] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. *3.* Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], **32**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[Miy04] Y. Miyaoka, *Numerical characterisations of hyperquadrics*, Complex analysis in several variables—Memorial Conference of Kiyoshi Oka's Centennial Birthday, 209–235, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **42**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

[MM81] S. Mori and S. Mukai, *Classification of Fano* 3*-folds with* $B_2 \geq 2$, Manuscr. Math. **36** (1981), no. 2, 147–162. Erratum: **110** (2003), no. 3, 407.

[Mor79] S. Mori, *Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 3, 593–606.

[Muk88] S. Mukai, *Problems on characterization of the complex projective space*, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Open Problems, Proceedings of the 23rd Symposium of the Taniguchi Foundation at Katata, Japan, 1988, pp.57–60.

[NO10] C. Novelli and G. Occhetta, *Rational curves and bounds on the Picard number of Fano manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **147** (2010), 207–217.

[Nov12] C. Novelli, *On Fano manifolds with an unsplit dominating family of rational curves*, Kodai Math. J. **35** (2012), no. 3, 425–438.

[Occ06] G. Occhetta, *A characterization of products of projective spaces*, Canad. Math. Acad. Bull. **49** (2006), no. 2, 270–280.

[OW02] G. Occhetta and J. A. Wiśniewski, *On Euler-Jaczewski sequence and Remmert-van de Ven problem for toric varieties*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), no. 1, 35–44.

[Sat76] E. Sato, *Uniform vector bundles on a projective space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), no. 1, 123–132.

[Sho79] V. V. Shokurov, *The existence of a line on Fano varieties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), no. 4, 922–964, 968.

[Wiś90a] J. A. Wiśniewski, *Fano* 4*-folds of index* 2 *with* $b_2 \geq 2$*. A contribution to Mukai classification*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **38** (1990), no. 1–12, 173–184.

[Wiś90b] J. A. Wiśniewski, *On a conjecture of Mukai*, Manuscripta Math. **68** (1990), no. 2, 135–141.

[Wiś91a] J. A. Wiśniewski, *On Fano manifolds of large index*, Manuscripta Math. **70** (1991), no. 2, 145–152.

[Wiś91b] J. A. Wiśniewski, *On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **417** (1991), 141–157.

K. Fujita

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Oiwake-cho, Kitashirakawa, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

fujita@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp